



Dynamic, Recursive, Heterogeneous Types in Statically Typed Languages

Richard T. Saunders – Rincon Research Corporation
Dr. Clinton Jeffery – University of Idaho



```
>>> v = "abc"
```

```
>>> v = 1      # dynamic values
```

```
# heterogeneous types in dict
```

```
>> d = { 'a':1, 'nest': {'b':3.14} }
```

```
# recursive, cascading lookup, insert
```

```
>>> print d['nest']['b']
```

```
>>> d['nest']['new'] = 17.6  # insert
```

- Most Dynamic Languages have a notion of a dictionary of key-value pairs
 - Python dict
 - Unicon/Icon table
 - Lua tables
 - Javascript objects
 - Ruby Hash (key-value store)

- The dict is really easy to use!

Key-Value Store:

- Associates a key with any kind of value

```
>>> d = { 'a': 1, 'b':2.2, 'c':'three' }  
>>> print d['a'] # key of 'a', value 1  
1
```

- ... no real equivalent in C++...

- We can add something like dict to C++
- Paradoxically: static features of C++ make dynamic features easier (??)
 - Function Overloading
 - Operator Overloading
 - User-Defined Conversions
 - Type Selection
 - Type Inference

Why Python dicts?

Goal: Make dynamic, recursive, heterogeneous, dictionaries as easy to use in C++ as Python

- Why?
 - Most major projects span multiple languages
 - Scripting languages (Python, JavaScript, Ruby) are the front-end, gluing together components
 - High-performance languages (FORTRAN, C/C++) form the hardcore backend
 - The front-end languages and the back-end languages need a common *currency* for communication: the Python dictionary

- Definitions
- History/Lessons Learned
- Val, Tab, Arr framework
 - Overloading
 - User-Defined Conversions
 - Cascading Insertion and Lookup
- Boost any type
- Conclusion

Definition: Dynamically Typed

- *Dynamically Typed Language*: The type of a variable is determined by the value in the variable at runtime
 - Python, Ruby, Lisp, Unicon are dynamically typed languages
- Python:

```
>>> a = 1          # a is a int
>>> a = 2.2        # Nope! Now it's a float
>>> a = "three"    # Now it's a string
```
- The type is dynamic and bound at runtime

Definition: Statically Typed

- *Statically Typed Language*: The type of a variable is bound at compile-time: that variable can only hold values of that type.
 - FORTRAN, C, C++, Java are statically-typed languages
- C++ Example:

```
int a = 1;  
a = 2.2;  
    // converts 2.2 to an int (or ERROR)  
a = "three";  
    // ERROR: a can only hold int values
```


Heterogeneous vs. Homogeneous

- Usually apply term to containers
 - A container is *heterogeneous* if it can hold more than one type
 - A container is *homogeneous* if it can only hold one type

- C++ containers are homogeneous:

```
vector<int> v{1,2,3}; // array type for ints only
map<string, int> m; // key-value, but string->int only
```

- Python containers are heterogeneous:

```
a = [1, 2.2, 'three'] # array type, can hold any type
d = { 'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':'three' }
    # keys and values can mix types
```

Definition: Recursive

- A container is *recursive* if it can contain types of itself
 - i.e., containers contain containers

```
>>> d = { 'a': 1, 'b': 2.2, 'c': {'d':1 } }
```

- Extension of heterogeneity
 - How well does the language support nested types?

```
// Python: trivial
```

```
>>> print d['c']['a']      # Easy to access  
1
```

```
// C++: // Uhh ... ???
```

```
map<string, map<string, int> > m;
```

```
// Only contains maps of map? Not really ...
```

History (or "How I Became Obsessed with Dynamic Types in C++")

- 1996: Worked on Midas 2k: A C++ framework for doing DSP
 - Technical success, political failure
 - I work with engineers: simplicity of interface matters
- One major success: OpalValues, OpalTables
 - Everyone wrote a list of things that should migrate from Midas 2k to new system
 - Number 1 on everyone's list: OpalValues/OpalTables

- OpalValue: A *dynamic* container for holding any basic type, or tables
- OpalTables: a *recursive, heterogeneous* key-value container
 - OpalTable ot = "{a=1, b=2.2}";
 - keys are a, b
 - Values are 1, 2.2
 - ot.get("b") returns 2.2
 - **Keys** are strings
 - **Values** are OpalValues (*heterogeneous*), which can also be OpalTables (*recursive*)

- Expressing Dynamic, Recursive, Heterogeneous Types in C++
 - New
 - Useful (on everyone's list as a feature to migrate)
- Both textual and binary expression
 - OpalTables could be saved to file in both binary (fast) and textual (human-readable) form

OpalValue Failure: Insertion of Values was simple, but not trivial

- `OpalValue o1 = Opalize(string("hello"))`
- `OpalValue o2 = OpalTable(); // empty table`
- `OpalValue o3 = Number(real_8(1.0));`
- Wasn't consistent, sometimes needed `Opalize`
- Using `Opalize` is wordy
- `Number!`

OpalValue Failure: Extraction of Values was Terrible

- `Number n = UnOpalize(ov, Number);`
- `int i = n;`
- `string s = UnOpalize(ov, string);`

OpalValue Lesson 1: Number was a Mistake

- Having a container class to contain numbers was a mistake: all extractions had to go through an extra level of Number

```
Number n = UnOpalize(ov, Number);  
real_8 r = n;
```


OpalValue Lesson 2: Number was a Mistake ... but it Taught us Something

- `Number n1 = 1; // int`
- `Number n2 = 2.2; // double`
- `Number n3 = 3.3f; // float`

- `int ii = n1; // get out an int`
- `real_8 rr = n3; // get out double`

OpalValue Failures: Textual Representation was Non-standard

- Syntax "stovepipe creation", i.e., non-standard
 - `{ a = { 1,2,3}, b = { c="hello"} }`
 - Remember, this was the pre-JSON and pre-XML era
- Lists and tables had the same syntax with `{ }`
 - `{1,2.2,"three"}` same as `{0=1,1=2.2, 2="three"}`

- Extraction and Insertion must be trivial
- An extra Number class is a mistake
- Use standard textual representation
- "Holistic" lesson: Be careful when overloading
 - Conversions interact in strange ways
 - Ambiguous overloads or conversions => compiler complains

Dynamic Types 2.0: Python: Wow!

- Python got Dynamic, Recursive, Heterogeneous Types right!



```
>>> v = "abc"

>>> v = 1      # dynamic values

# heterogeneous types in dict
>>> d = { 'a':1, 'nest': {'b':3.14}}

# recursive, cascading lookup, insert
>>> print d['nest']['b']
>>> d['nest']['new'] = 17.6  # insert
```

- Lessons learned:
 - Use Python dictionary syntax as much as possible
 - People like it
 - Easy to use
 - In Python, modules, classes and most major namespaces are implemented as Python dictionaries
 - because of this ubiquity, the `dict` is fast and easy to use
 - Textual format is "standard"
 - JSON is a subset of Python dictionary (almost)
 - Python is widely used

- Var is a wrapper in C++ for manipulating Python data structures
 - Embed a Python interpreter into your C++ program
 - Tried to make Python easier to express in C++
- Successes:
 - Var: a dynamic type
 - Cascading inserts, lookups easy to express
- Failures:
 - Extracting info too wordy
 - Python interpreter required
 - Cascading inserts, lookups used a proxy ...

"Final Version": the Val/Tab/Arr

- Goal: Make dynamic, recursive, heterogeneous dictionaries as easy to use in C++ as Python
- Why?
 - Most major projects span multiple languages
 - Scripting languages (Python, Javascript, Ruby) are the front-end, gluing together components
 - High-performance languages (FORTRAN, C/C++) form the hardcore backend
 - The front-end languages and the back-end languages need a common currency for communication: the Python dictionary
- Those who fail to learn the lessons of history are doomed to repeat them



```
>>> v = "abc"

>>> v = 1      # dynamic values

# heterogeneous types in dict
>> d = { 'a':1, 'nest': {'b':3.14}}

# recursive, cascading lookup, insert
>>> print d['nest']['b']
>>> d['nest']['new'] = 17.6  # insert
```

```
Val v = "abc";
v = 1;    // dynamic values

// heterogeneous types in Tab
Tab d = "{ 'a':1, 'nest': { 'b':3.1.4} }";

// recursive, cascading lookup, insert
cout << d["nest"]["b"] << endl;
d["nest"]["new"] = 17.6;
```

- Every variable in C++ must have a static type: we will use `Val` as the type representing *dynamic* values.
- `Val` is a simple dynamic container:
 - Strings
 - Dictionaries (`Tab`) and lists (`Arr`)
 - Can contain any primitive type: `int_1`, `int_u1`, `int_2`, `int_u2`, `int_4`, `int_u4`, `real_4`, `real_8`, `complex_8`, `complex_16`.

- Chooses type based on value
- Makes Val construction easy:

```
Val a = 100;           // int
Val b = 3.141592;      // real_8
Val c = 3.1415f;       // real_4
Val d = "hello";       // string
Val e = None;          // empty
Val t = Tab();         // dictionary
```

- Implemented as a type-tag and a union
 - That's so 1980s!
 - Reasons:
 - (1) Union is fast and space-efficient
 - (2) Union is also thread and heap friendly
 - avoid unnecessary heap allocation: minor lesson from M2k
 - (3) Intentional lack of virtual functions or pointers to functions means you can use the Val in cross-process shared memory
 - (4) Yes, use placement `new` and manual destructors

Overloading Constructor: Issue

- Has to be overloaded on *all* primitive types, or compiler complains
 - If you forget `real_8`, what does `Val v = 1.0` do?

```
Class Val {
    public:
        // Constructors on Val overloaded on all primitive types
        Val (int_u1 a) : ...
        Val (int_1 a) : ...
        Val (int_u2 a) : ...
        Val (int_4 a) : ...
        Val (int_u8 a) : ...
        Val (int_8 a) : ...
        Val (real_4 a) : ...
        Val (real_8 a) : ...
        Val (const string& s) : ...
}
```

Why not use Templatized Constructor?

- Answer:
 - (1) We don't control it as well, and we have to control all primitive type conversions to avoid compiler ambiguities
 - (2) Some backwards compatibility issues:
users back at RedHat 3 and 4!

Overloading on Platform Dependent Types

- Result of many STL operations is a `size_t`. What is a `size_t`?
Answer: Some unsigned int. Depends.
- May or may not be same as `int_u8` or `int_u4`. May be platform defined int
 - more likely, GNU quantity: like int, but considered a different type by C++ type system.
- On some platforms, will be a `int_u8/int_u4`; on others, not.

- Want Val to work well with `size_t`:

```
Val v=sizeof(Blach);
```

- But above will NOT work on platforms where `size_t` is not an `int_u4` or `int_u8`. We can work around it:

```
Val v=int_u8(sizeof(Blach));
```

- But this subverts the "simplicity" for the users

- In old C days, we would add a `#ifdef` and add a new constructor for machines where `size_t` is a new type:

```
class Val {  
    #ifdef SIZE_T_NOT_INT_U8  
        Val(size_t) : ...  
    #endif  
}
```

Problem: manually check if `size_t` is available or not, have to manage macros

- Use type selection technique from *Modern C++ Design*
 - Introduce a new dummy type called `OC_UNUSED_SIZE_T`
 - Introduce a new constructor `Val(ALLOW_SIZE_T)`
 - If the compiler notices that `size_t` is a unique int type
 - `ALLOW_SIZE_T` becomes typedefed to `size_t`
 - else `size_t` is NOT a unique int (i.e., it is an `int_u4`), then
 - `ALLOW_SIZE_T` is typedefed to `OC_UNUSED_SIZE_T`

Type Selection (Idea)

```
class OC_UNUSED_SIZE_T { };  
template <class T> struct FindSizeT {  
    typedef size_t Result;  
};  
template <> struct FindSizeT<int_u4> {  
    typedef OC_UNUSED_SIZE_T Result;  
}  
typedef FindSizeT<size_t>::Result ALLOW_SIZE_T;  
  
Class Val {  
    Val (ALLOW_SIZE_T a) : ...  
    // all other overloads ...  
}
```

```
Val V = 1;           // constructor  
V = 2.2;             // operator=  
V = "three";         // operator=  
V = None;  
V = Tab();
```

User-Defined Conversion

- C++ has a unique feature called *user-defined conversions* which allow a type to export itself as a different type.

```
class IntRange {                // restricted to 0..99

    operator int () {...}        // allow IntRange
                                // to be used as int
};

int f(int i); // prototype for f:
               //f only takes an int argument

IntRange m;
f(m);         // ERROR?? No!! IntRange is allowed
               // to export itself as an int
```

```
IntRange m;  
f(m);
```

// Above form is syntactic sugar for:

```
IntRange m;  
int _outcasted_temp_ = m.operator int();  
f(_outcasted_temp_); // Legal C++!
```

User-Defined Conversions with Val

- Allows us to extract all types from Val with minimal typing. Val has user-defined conversions for all basic types as well as Tabs, Arrs and strings:

```
Val v = 3.141592;  
double d = v;           // syntactic sugar
```

```
// same as  
Val v = 3.141592;  
double d = v.operator double();
```

Type of the variable INFORMS the conversion so you don't have to state explicitly which conversion is being used!

Val type and conversion mismatch?

- What if type in Val and outcast mismatch?

```
Val v = 3.141592;
```

```
int i = v;    // What happens?
```

- *Principle of Least Surprise:*

- Do what C++ would do if you explicitly cast.

- If not allowed, throw an exception (like a dynamic language would)

```
int i = static_cast<int>(3.141592); // cast to 3
```

```
Val v = 3.141;
```

```
float f = v;           // As C++:f=float(3.141);
```

```
int i = v;             // As C++:i=int(3.141);
```

```
Tab t = v;
```

```
    // NOT a table, throw exception!
```

- Like Val constructor, the outcasts have to overload on all primitive types (and strings, Tab, Arr) or will run into massive compiler warnings:

```
class Val {
    operator int_u1();
    operator int_1();
    operator int_u2();
    operator int_4();
    operator int_u4();
    operator int_8();
    operator int_u8();
    operator ALLOW_SIZE_T();    // size_t different?
    operator real_4 ();
    operator real_8 ();
    ...
};
```

Archaic implementation:
but we control all conversions

```
operator int_4 () { // tag tells which union field
    switch (tag) {
        case 's': return int_4(u.s); // int_1 union field
        case 'S': return int_4(u.S); // int_u1 union field
        case 'i': return int_4(u.i); // int_2 field
        case 'I': return int_4(u.I); // int_u2 field
        case 'l': return int_4(u.l); // int_4 field
        case 'L': return int_4(u.L); // int_u4 field
        case 'x': return int_4(u.x); // int_8 field
        case 'X': return int_4(u.X); // int_u8 field
        case 'f': return int_4(u.f); // real_4 field
        case 'd': return int_4(u.d); // real_8 field
        ...
    }
}
```

```
Val v = 1;  
string s = v;    // Works: operator string() on Val  
  
s = v;           // FAILS! Overloaded operator=
```

- Problem: STL string has its own operator= and user-defined outcast interferes (confuses compiler)
 - All these signatures interfere with each other

```
string& operator=(const string& str);  
string& operator=(const char* s);  
string& operator=(char c);  
Val::operator string(); // Which one to use?
```

```
string s;
Val v = 1;
s = string(v);
    // forces user-defined conversion
```

It breaks the idea that the variable chooses the right user-defined conversion, but at least it's simple and not too much more typing

Idea is useful in longer code snippets:

```
Val freq, bw = ... something ...
real_8 lim = real_8(freq) + real_8(bw) * 2.0 + 1000;
```

```
// C++  
Val v = "hello";    // Val is dynamic container  
v = 17;  
v = 1.0;            // easy to put values in  
  
float d = v;        // easy to take values out  
string s = d;       // easy way to stringize  
  
# Python  
v = 'hello'         # Python  
v = 17  
v = 1.0  
d = float(v)  
s = str(d)
```

- Like Python dict
- `class Tab : public OCAVLHashT<Val, Val, 8> { }`
 - AVL Tree where keys at nodes are hashed values
 - No hash information lost to modulo operations
 - So integer compares to find place in tree
 - Values at nodes are (key, value) pairs
 - Still have to keep key in case of hash collisions
 - Bounded hash table with no rehashing necessary
 - M2k was a soft realtime system, incremental data structures
- A `Tab` is like an incremental hash table of key-values pairs
 - Lookups happen by keys
 - Strings or ints (`Val`)
 - Values are any `Val` (including nested `Tabs`!)

- Like Python list
 - (Python list is really implemented as a resizing array)
- `Arr` is an array of `Val`
 - Array is an OpenContainers concept (picklingtools.com)
 - Array has been optimized to fit into 32 bytes
 - (so can do placement new into `Val`)
 - Backwards compatibility (before STL was ubiquitous)

- Python:

```
>>> d = { 'a':1, 'b':2.2,  
          'c':[1,2.2, 'three'] }
```

- C++:

```
Tab t = "{ 'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':[1,2.2, 'three'] }";
```

Use string literal (since C++ doesn't support Python syntax)

Can cut-and-paste dictionaries between Python and C++ AS-IS

Note: single quote strings of Python makes string literal much easier to type in C++:

```
Tab t = "{ \"a\":1, \"b\":2.2,  
          \"c\":[1,2.2, \"three\"] }";
```

- Easy to express large dictionary in C++:

```
Tab t = "{  
    'a':1, "  
    'b': 2.2, "  
    'c':[1, 2.2, 'three']"  
}";
```

(String continuation across lines makes this work)

- Python:

```
>>> d = {'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':[1,2.2, 'three']}
>>> print d['a']           # lookup
1
>>> d['c'] = 555;         # insert
>>> print d
{ 'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':555}
```

- Val overloads operator[] and returns Val& and can be used in both insertion and lookup contexts. What user types:

```
Tab d = "{ 'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':[1,2.2,'three'] }";
cerr << d["a"]; // lookup, note double quotes
```

- Lots of extra work happening for this to look nice: this is equivalent to the following (legal!) C++:

```
Tab t = "{ 'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':[1,2.2,'three'] }";
Val _key_ = "a"; // Create a Val for the key
Val& _valref_ = d.operator[](_key_);
operator<<(cerr, _valref_);
```

- Using the Val&, can insert directly into a table

```
d["c"] = 555; // what user types
```

- Long form (what C++ does for us ... legal C++!):

```
Val _key_ = "c";
Val& _valref_ = d.operator[](_key_);
    // Not there:creates Val inside d
Val _newthing_ = 555;
_valref_ = _newthing_;
```

Insertion vs. Lookup

- Can't distinguish between lookup and insertion in C++ via constness (Meyers, "More Effective C++", Item 30)
- Overload both [] and ():
 - Both do same thing: return (some) reference to a Val&
 - EXCEPT: if key not there!
 - [] creates a new (empty) Val and returns reference to it
 - () throws an exception

```
cerr << t("not there");           // throws exception  
t["not there"] = 100;             // allows insertion
```

Python Gold Standard:Nested Insertion and Lookup

```
>>> d={'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':[1,2.2,'three']}  
>>> print d['c'][1]          # nested lookup  
>>> d['c'][0] = 'one';      # nested insertion
```


- User C++ Code:

```
Tab d="{ 'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':[1,2.2,'three'] }";
cout << d("c")(1); // nested lookup
```

- This translates to:

```
Tab d="{ 'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':[1,2.2,'three'] }";
Val _key1_ = "c";
const Val& _subc_=d.operator()(_key1_);
Val _key2_ = 1;
const Val& _subc1_ = _subc_.operator()(_key2_);
operator<<(cout, _subc1_);
```

- User C++ code:

```
d["c"][0] = "one";    // nested insert
```

- What's happening behind the scenes:

```
Val _key1 = "c";  
Val& _subc_ = d.operator[](_key1);  
Val _key2 = 0;  
Val&_subc0_=_subc_.operator[](_key2);  
_subc0_ = "one";
```

```
// C++
```

```
Tab d="{ 'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':[1,2.2, 'three'] }";
```

```
int v = d("c")(0);
```

```
v += 3;
```

```
d["c"][2] = v;
```

```
# Python
```

```
d = {'a':1, 'b':2.2, 'c':[1,2.2,'three']}
```

```
v = int(d['c'][0])
```

```
v+=3
```

```
d['c'][2] = v
```

- How does C++ dynamic Val compare to other dynamic languages?
 - No current benchmark comparing dictionaries of other languages (perfect for "Programming Language Shootout")
 - We compare C Python vs. C++ Val
 - C Python very stable, hand optimized over 10s of years

- Pickle: How fast can we iterate over a complex Table and extract dynamic information?
 - Python C version: raw C code extracting dynamic info and iterating over Python dicts at the speed of C
 - C++ Val version: raw C++ code extracting dynamic info and iterating over Tabs at the speed of C++
- UnPickle: How fast can we create dynamic objects and insert into tables?
 - Python C version: raw C unpickling and creating Python objects
 - C++ Val version: raw C++ unpickling and creating Vals
- Table is about 10000 keys of varying types of keys and lengths
 - Relatively shallow table (but a few nested dicts)

Speed Tests

| | PicklingTools 1.3.1 C++ Val Object | C Python Version 2.7 PyObject |
|---|---|---|
| PickleText Pickle Protocol 0 Pickle Protocol 2 | 5.90 seconds 12.23 seconds 1.30 seconds | 4.82 seconds 12.65 seconds 3.41 seconds |
| Unpickle Text Unpickle Protocol 0 Unpickle Protocol 2 | 23.40 seconds 7.24 seconds 4.34 seconds | 38.19 seconds 7.13 seconds 3.66 seconds |

Speed Tests Results

- Roughly comparable
 - C++ Val faster at pickling:
 - Much faster at iterating over complex table
 - Python C PyObject faster at unpickling
 - C Python does an optimization to cache recently used PyObjects (which speeds up caching, at the cost of thread neutrality)
 - Python GIL enables this optimization, not an option for Val
- This test tells us that C++ dynamic Val is on par with the Cpython's dynamic PyObjects

- Drawback: *Val can't hold arbitrary datatypes*
 - Only Tab, Arr, string, and primitive types
- Rather than force Val to try to adapt to other types, let other types become Vals!
 - Similar policy to XML: all types can be expressed as a composite of primitive types, string, and composite tables/lists:i.e., some combo of Vals
- SO! To work with user-defined types, make your class...
 - Construct from Val
 - Export to Val

User-Defined Types with Vals

```
class MyType {  
    // Construct a MyType directly from a Val  
    MyType (const Val& v)    // import from Val  
  
    // Create a Val from MyType  
    operator Val()          // export to a Val  
};
```

JSON: JavaScript Object Notation

representing dicts and lists in all languages

XML:

many people use for key-value dicts, lists

Environments have massive tools for handling XML

(netbeans, Eclipse)

...If only key-values were easier to deal with in statically typed languages

- Boost has `any` type
 - More general than `Val`, as it can hold any type
 - Suffers from clumsier interface because it is more general
- Val has been designed to look like dynamic languages

- Cascading inserts/lookups with Val are simple:

```
Tab t = "{ 'a': { 'nest': 1 } }";  
cout << t["a"]["nest"] << endl;  
t["a"]["nest"] = 17;
```

Cascades with any (1)

- Much more complex, with many more casts

```
// Boost any approach: no literals,  
// create table explicitly  
map<string, any> t;  
map<string, any> subtable;  
subtable["nest"] = 1;  
t["a"] = subtable;
```

Cascades with any (2)

```
// Cascade lookup
any& inner = t["a"];
map<string, any>& inner_table =
    any_cast<map<string, any>&>(inner);
int r = any_cast<int>(inner_table["nest"]);
cout << r << endl;
```

Cascades with any (3)

```
// Cascade insert  
any& inneri = t["a"];  
map<string, any>& inneri_table =  
    any_cast<map<string, any>& >(inneri);  
any& nest = inner_table["nest"];  
nest = 17;
```

- Work done to support Python dictionaries in C++:
 - All work available at <http://www.picklingtools.com>
 - Open Source (BSD license)
- Allows using dictionaries in both C++ and Python
 - Information can flow between front-end scripting languages and back-end optimization languages
 - Dictionary becomes currency of system